

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/616,878 07/10/2003		Richard W. Ettinger JR.	488230.0002	2641.		
7	590 10/02/2006		EXAMINER			
MC CARTER	R & ENGLISH, LLP	MOONEYHAM, JANICE A				
FOUR STAME	FORD PLAZA					
107 ELM STR	EET	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
STAMFORD,	CT 06902	3629				

DATE MAILED: 10/02/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/616,87	3	ETTINGER, RICH	ETTINGER, RICHARD W.			
Office Action Summary			Examiner		Art Unit			
				looneyham	3629			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communi r Reply	ication app	ears on the	cover sheet with the	correspondence a	ddress		
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M. asions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm period for reply is specified above, the maximum stare to reply within the set or extended period for reply eply received by the Office later than three months are departed term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	AILING DA of 37 CFR 1.13 unication. atutory period w will, by statute,	ATE OF THE 66(a). In no ever will apply and will cause the appli	S COMMUNICATIOnt, however, may a reply be to expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDON	N. mely filed  n the mailing date of this ( ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•		
Status					, ·			
1)	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on <i>20 Ja</i>	nuary 2006					
,	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
′=	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
, ,—	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the a	pplication.						
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restric	tion and/or	election re	quirement.				
Applicati	on Papers							
9)	The specification is objected to by the	e Examiner	r.					
•	The drawing(s) filed on is/are:			objected to by the	Examiner.			
,	Applicant may not request that any object	-	•	•				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	the correcti	on is require	d if the drawing(s) is o	bjected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>								
Attachmen 1) 🔯 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892)			4) Interview Summar	v (PTO-413)			
2) 🔲 Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P	TO-948)		Paper No(s)/Mail [	Date			
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date			5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	r atem Application			

Application/Control Number: 10/616,878

Art Unit: 3629

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

This action is in response to applicant's communication filed on 7/10/2003, wherein claims 1-20 are currently pending.

#### Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 2/19/2004 is being considered by the examiner.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-2, 4, 7-11 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Conklin et al. (U.S. 6,338,050).

Conklin discloses a method and system for conducting a computer-based negotiation, comprising:

a processor that is adapted to communicate with a network (Conklin et al. col. 17, lines 14-34, "engine system communicating over telecommunications link to the internet"),

receiving an offer from a first location across a network at a processor (Conklin et al. fig. 7, item 540);

Page 3

Art Unit: 3629

automatically assessing the offer against predefined acceptance criteria (Conklin et al. fig. 7, item 532);

in the event the offer does not satisfy the predefined acceptance criteria, automatically generating one or more counter offers (Conklin et al. col. 14, lines 1-29);

automatically transmitting said one or more counter offers across said network to said first location (Conklin et al. col. 17, lines 14-34, "engine system communicating over telecommunications link to the internet").

one or more counter offers includes one or more combinations of quantity and price (Conklin et al. col. 17, lines 14-34, "engine system communicating over telecommunications link to the internet").

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.

Art Unit: 3629

4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 1-2, 4, 7-11 and 13 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Conklin et al. et al. (U.S. 6,338,050) in view of Himmelstein (U.S. 6,338,050).

Conklin discloses a method and system for conducting a computer-based negotiation, comprising (Conklin et al. col. 17, lines 14-34, "engine system communicating over telecommunications link to the internet"):

Conklin does not explicitly disclose generation of said one or more counter offers includes use of a randomization factor, one or more counter offers includes a buy-now option, or

transmitting a wait period based on said predetermined criteria.

However Himmelstein teaches generation of said one or more counter offers includes use of a randomization factor (Himmelstein [0066], one or more counter offers includes a buy-now option (Himmelstein [0062], or transmitting a wait period based on said predetermined criteria [0060]. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate into the negotiating system of Conklin et al. the options set forth in Himmelstein in order to allow for more completing transactions. Thereby increasing sales volume for the seller and more completed transactions for the buyer.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Janice A. Mooneyham whose telephone number is (571) 272-6805. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Weiss can be reached on (571) 272-6812. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Jan Mooneyham Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3629